

## Examination of the Emittance Growth in Drifts

Juan C. Gallardo\*

*Brookhaven National Laboratory*

*Upton, New York, 11973*

(Dated: March 31, 2004)

### Abstract

In a previous paper [1] I showed that  $\epsilon_T$  and  $\epsilon_6$  growth in a drift as calculated by **ECALC9** [2]; subsequently, J.S Berg [3] has argued that this emittance growth is caused because a drift is not a linear element. We show that the non-linearity of a drift is an *apparent effect* due to the particular choice of the **Hamiltonian** function.

---

\*gallardo@bnl.gov

## I. INTRODUCTION

The standard **Hamiltonian**, for a drift, in accelerator physics is

$$-p_s = -\sqrt{\left(\frac{E}{c}\right)^2 - (mc)^2 - p_x^2 - p_y^2} \equiv -\mathbf{H}(x, p_x, y, p_y, t, E; s); \quad (1)$$

we show explicitly the canonical variables and the independent variable  $s$ . The equation of motion are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{ds} &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial p_x} \equiv \frac{p_x}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{E}{c}\right)^2 - (mc)^2 - p_x^2 - p_y^2}}, \\ \frac{dy}{ds} &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial p_y} \equiv \frac{p_y}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{E}{c}\right)^2 - (mc)^2 - p_x^2 - p_y^2}}, \\ \frac{dt}{ds} &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial E} \equiv \frac{E}{c^2 \sqrt{\left(\frac{E}{c}\right)^2 - (mc)^2 - p_x^2 - p_y^2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

which can be integrated exactly ( canonical transformation). Obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} x(s) &= x(0) + \frac{p_x s}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{E}{c}\right)^2 - (mc)^2 - p_x^2 - p_y^2}}, \\ y(s) &= y(0) + \frac{p_y s}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{E}{c}\right)^2 - (mc)^2 - p_x^2 - p_y^2}}, \\ t(s) &= t(0) + \frac{E s}{c^2 \sqrt{\left(\frac{E}{c}\right)^2 - (mc)^2 - p_x^2 - p_y^2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

J.S. Berg [3] very convincingly showed that, starting with this **Hamiltonian**, the equation of motion are non-linear (the square root in Eqs. 3) in the canonical variables and consequently, we can not expect the emittance to be constant.

## II. A RELATIVISTIC Lagrangian FUNCTION OF 4-VELOCITY

It is known that the **Lagrangian** function and of course the **Hamiltonian** are not unique, the necessary requirements are: a) The Euler-Lagrange equations must be either the Newton equation for free space, or the Lorentz equation for a charged particle in an external field; and b) both functions must be Lorentz invariant.

An alternative approach that gives a quadratic **Lagrangian** and **Hamiltonian**, is briefly described in a problem by Jackson [4] (see also [5]). The **Lagrangian** is

$$\mathbf{L} = \frac{1}{2}m_o U_\mu U_\mu + \frac{q}{c}A_\mu U_\mu, \quad (4)$$

where  $x_\mu = (\vec{x}, ict)$  is the 4-position,  $U_\mu = (\gamma\vec{v}, i\gamma c) \equiv \frac{dx_\mu}{d\tau}$  is the 4-velocity,  $d\tau = \frac{dt}{\gamma}$  is the proper time and  $A_\mu = (\vec{A}, i\Phi)$  is the covariant vector potential.

The definition of 4-momentum is

$$p_\mu \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial U_\mu} = m_o U_\mu + \frac{q}{c}A_\mu \quad (5)$$

with  $p_\mu = (\vec{p}, ip_0)$ . The **Hamiltonian** function is

$$\mathbf{H}(\vec{x}, \vec{p}, ct, p_0; \tau) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} p_\mu U_\mu - \mathbf{L} = \frac{1}{m_o}(p_\mu - \frac{q}{c}A_\mu)(p_\mu - \frac{q}{c}A_\mu); \quad (6)$$

we show explicitly the canonical variables and the independent variable  $\tau$ . The equation of motion, for a drift, are:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\vec{x}}{d\tau} &= \frac{\vec{p}}{m_o}, & \frac{dct}{d\tau} &= \frac{p_0}{m_o}, \\ \frac{d\vec{p}}{d\tau} &= 0, & \frac{dp_0}{d\tau} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Clearly, these equations can be integrated exactly and we get,

$$\begin{aligned} x(\tau) &= x(0) + \frac{p_x}{m_o}\tau, & y(\tau) &= y(0) + \frac{p_y}{m_o}\tau \\ z(\tau) &= z(0) + \frac{p_z}{m_o}\tau, & ct(\tau) &= ct(0) + \frac{E}{m_o c}\tau \\ \vec{p} &= \text{constant}, & p_0 &= \text{constant}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

To compare with Eqs. 3 we note that  $s = z(\tau) - z(0) = \frac{p_z}{m_o}\tau$  which implies  $\tau = \frac{m_o s}{p_z}$ ; substituting in Eqs. 8 we can write

$$\begin{aligned} x(s) &\equiv x(\tau) = x(0) + \frac{p_x}{m_o} \frac{m_o}{p_z} s, \\ y(s) &\equiv y(\tau) = y(0) + \frac{p_y}{m_o} \frac{m_o}{p_z} s, \\ ct(s) &\equiv ct(\tau) = ct(0) + \frac{E}{m_o c} \frac{m_o}{p_z} s, \\ \vec{p} &= \text{constant}, & p_0 &= \text{constant}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Formally Eqs. 9 are identical to Eqs. 3, however, because our phase space is 8-D rather than 6-D, the equation of motion for a drift are **linear** in the canonical variables  $(\vec{x}, ct, \vec{p}, p_0)$

with independent variable  $\tau$ . The emittances will be constant of motion when evaluated at constant  $\tau$  planes. If the question we ask is: what is the emittance at constant  $s$  planes?, the answer will be: it is not a constant of motion unless there is no energy spread, because in such a case there is a one to one correspondance between  $\tau$  and  $s$  for all particles.

- 
- [1] J.C. Gallardo and R. Fernow, *Behavior of Kinematic Invariants of Beams with Large Energy Spread* MUC-NOTE-COOL-THEORY-288.
  - [2] R. Fernow, *ICOOL: A simulation code for Ionization Cooling of Muon Beam*, Proc. Particle Accelerator Conference, 1999. <http://pubweb.bnl.gov/people/fernnow/icool/readme.html>.
  - [3] J.S. Berg, *Understanding Emittance Growth in Drifts*, Ring/Cooler/Emittance Exchange Workshop (2004). <http://www.cap.bnl.gov/mumu/conf/E-EX-040127/>.
  - [4] J.D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, John Wiley & Sons, New York 1962.
  - [5] Y.K. Wu, E. Forest and D.S. Robin, *Explicit symplectic integrator for s-dependent static magnetic field*, Phys. Rev. **E68**, 046502 (2003).